The Public Hearing was presided over by Stanley Tenney, Chairman of the Planning Board, and was called to order at 7:30 P. M.

Each article of the proposed ordinance was taken in order and time allowed for discussion if anyone cared to do so.

The following questions were asked and suggestions were made:-

Article 11 - Districts.

Some questioned the recreation and farm district areas and asked to have them defined. Grandfather clause was explained by RichardSchacht pertaining to the farms now operated as such.

Industrial districts were explained by Mr. Abbott.

It was suggested that we define village district better than we have in the proposed ordinance.

Public opinion seemed to be in favor of dividing districts into four catagories instead of the three as we now have done.

Article 111. No comment

Article 1V. General Provisions:

- A. 15 Clarification requested as to meaning of lots re; parcel of land. Explained thoroughly by the Board
- B. 3 Lengthy discussion arose as to just what constituted an unregistered or abandoned vehicle left exposed in yard for longer than 1 year, if it had to be covered, etc. There is a State law which covers this.
  - C. Mobile Homes: Questioned the 50' right of way.
- F. Floor area per Dwelling unit: It was suggested by some that this be changed to 400' instead of 600', and one suggestion was made that we increase it from the 600' to 800'.

"unit". Also suggested that we use the word "structure" instead of

G. It was called to our attention that: we have not allowed for any recreational area for cluster homes or condominiums. Suggested that we do so.

- G. It was proposed that we insert clause not to allow cluster homes or condominimums to be built on main street.
- Article V. Zoning regulations districts.
- A. 3 Suggested this article be changed to read 100' frontage 100' depth, and leave the 1/2 acre as written.
  - 4. Yards: Change this to read 30' from center of road.

A suggestion was made that Route #9 be set aside for some business, such as garages, etc.

Article V111.

F. Procedure: Suggested that we specify a time limit instead of promptly.

The Hearing, as a whole, progressed rapidly with some opposition, but the general feeling, I believe, seemed to be in favor of the proposed zoning ordinance with the changes listed above.

Meeting adjourned 10:15 P. M.

Musica H. Dusky